

# South Kesteven District Council Local Plan 2011-2036 - Settlement Hierarchy Review



**Contents**

**1. BACKGROUND**..... 2

**2. POLICY CONTEXT** ..... 2

**3. METHODOLOGY** ..... 3

**4. NEW SETTLEMENT HIERARCHY** ..... 5

**5. POLICY CHANGES**..... 7

**6. FUTURE REVIEW** ..... 7

Appendix 1: Village Services Survey 2015 ..... 8

Appendix 2: Settlement Hierarchy Assessment for new Local Plan..... 44

Appendix 3: Scoring Matrix (Revised following Growth PDG recommendations)..... 46

  

Table 1: Example Scoring Matrix ..... 4

Table 2: Core Strategy Criteria..... 5

Table 3: Larger Villages ..... 7

## **1. BACKGROUND**

- 1.1 A new Local Plan is being prepared for South Kesteven for the period to 2036. Establishing a Settlement Hierarchy is an important element of a Local Plan, as it guides which developments are appropriate in each location and enables different levels of growth in different locations, based upon the function of the settlement.
- 1.2 The Local Plan will set out a 'Settlement Hierarchy', which influences where development can occur and what is appropriate in each location, i.e. it groups settlements together and sets out the level of development appropriate for each group based upon settlement size and the available services facilities.
- 1.3 Grantham is at the top of the current Settlement Hierarchy. Stamford, Bourne and the Deepings fall below this as the market towns. Currently, there are 16 Local Service Centres (LSCs), Everything else is classified as 'less sustainable' and current planning policies restricts new development to exceptional cases.
- 1.4 The purpose of this paper is to undertake a review of the Settlement Hierarchy by ascertaining whether:
- All the LSCs should be retained, and
  - Additional LSCs should be added.
- 1.5 The Local Plan also gives consideration to the level of development currently permitted at the LSCs and the 'less sustainable' settlements

## **2. POLICY CONTEXT**

- 2.1 Whilst not 'out of date' our current Settlement Hierarchy in the adopted Core Strategy pre-dates the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG). These documents provide clear guidance on delivering sustainable development, particularly in rural locations, which our current Core Strategy will not have been able to take into account, as it was adopted in 2010.
- 2.2 The NPPF states:

*"To promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities. For example, where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a village nearby. Local planning authorities should avoid new*

*isolated homes in the countryside unless there are special circumstances”*  
(Paragraph 55).

2.2 The NPPG provides further clarity on this and states:

*“Assessing housing need and allocating sites should be considered at a strategic level and through the Local Plan and/or neighbourhood plan process. However, all settlements can play a role in delivering sustainable development in rural areas – and so blanket policies restricting housing development in some settlements and preventing other settlements from expanding should be avoided unless their use can be supported by robust evidence.”*

And

*“A thriving rural in a living, working countryside depends, in part, on retaining local services and community facilities such as schools, local shops, cultural venues, public houses and places of worship. Rural housing is essential to ensure viable use of these local facilities.”*

### **3. METHODOLOGY**

3.1 The Settlement Hierarchy review has been an ongoing process, commencing in November 2015. Initially a village services and facility audit questionnaire was distributed to all settlements across the district. This sought to identify the provision of services and facilities in each area.

3.2 The table of results from the village surveys is provided in Appendix 1.

3.3 Following an initial analysis of this information, the top 20 settlements were reviewed further. This review sought to collect more detailed information in relation to those settlements likely to be categorised as being “Larger Villages” (formally Local Service Centre).

3.4 In October 2016 a report was presented to Growth Policy Development Group (PDG) (now replaced by Overview and Scrutiny), asking for recommendations in respect of the methodology for assessing Settlement Hierarchy through the new Local Plan.

3.5 Three potential methodologies were included. Growth PDG reviewed the potential methodologies that could be used in determining Settlement Hierarchy and made recommendations regarding the preferred approach.

3.6 Table 1 sets out the example scoring matrix presented (based upon services). Table 2 sets out the essential / desirable criteria, used to determine the Core Strategy hierarchy. The third option presented was a hierarchy based purely on population / dwelling numbers.

**Table 1: Example Scoring Matrix**

<b>Score</b>	<b>First score</b>	<b>Each additional</b>	<b>Part time / Combined</b>
Primary school	4	2	2
Food shop / local shop	4	2	2
Village Hall / Meeting Hall / Memorial Hall	3	1.5	1.5
Bus service to nearest urban area (Hourly or more often)	4	2	2
Bus service (1-3 hours/ 4-6 per day)	3	1.5	1.5
Bus service (3 hours or less frequent)	2	1	1.5
School bus	2	1	1
Church /Chapel	2	1	1
Post Office	3	1.5	1.5
Public House	3	1.5	1.5
Doctors	3	1.5	1.5
Police / Fire	2	1	1
Recreational / open space - all	2	1	1
Children's play area (equipped)	2	1	1
Secondary School	3	1.5	1.5
Train Station	3	1.5	1.5
Local Business >20	4	2	2
Local Business 5-20	3	1.5	1.5
Local Business <5	2	1	1
Day nursery (dn) pre-school playgroup (psp) crèche (c) childminder ch	3	1.5	1.5
Other Services (1 point for each)	1	1	1
Mobile library	1		

**Table 2: Core Strategy Criteria**

<b>Essential Services / Facilities</b>	<b>Desirable Services / Facilities</b>	<b>Other Services / Facilities</b>
Primary School	Secondary School	Equipped Play Area
Food Shop / Local Shop	Train Station	Petrol station / Garage
Village hall / Meeting hall	Bus Service (1-3 Hours, 4-6 per day)	Mobile library
Bus Service (Hourly or More)	Local Business	Allotments
Church / Chapel	Doctors (Part Time)	Bus (3 hours or Less)
Post Office (Full Time)	Post Office (Part Time)	
Public House	Day Nursery, pre-school or crèche	
Doctors (Full Time)		
Recreation Space		

3.7 The preferred approach selected by Growth PDG was the service based methodology, with some specific revisions proposed by Growth PDG.

3.8 These revisions included giving primary schools and (local) food shops an essential ranking, and recognising proximity and connectivity to towns. In addition any outstanding data as of October 2016 was collated and clarified by officers, in particular this related to utilising business rates data to inform local employment provision.

#### **4. NEW SETTLEMENT HIERARCHY**

4.1 Grantham and the three market towns will remain at the top of the hierarchy.

4.2 The revised methodology for the assessment of the Larger Villages scores each service / facility and the provision of employment within the settlement, then gives a half score for each additional service / facility in the same category and half scores for part-time services / facilities.

4.3 The scoring also recognises, at the request of Growth PDG the essential importance of primary schools and (local) food shops. To be identified as a Larger Village both a primary school and local shop are required. Proximity and connectivity to a nearby town is also a relevant factor.

4.4 Whilst the resulting Larger Villages list is broadly similar to the Core Strategy LSCs, there have been some changes. The village of Castle Bytham (currently LSC) does not qualify as a Larger Village. The new methodology requires Larger

Villages to have a village shop and primary school, as a minimum. The village shop in Castle Bytham closed in 2013, and there is no Primary School within the village.

- 4.5 The Core Strategy also has a number of LSCs, which consist of two settlements, due to their proximity to each other. These relationships were established where smaller settlements were situated within 400m of a larger settlement and generally, these settlements had a gap between them. For example:
- Barkston and Syston
  - Billingborough and Horbling
  - Colsterworth and Woolsthorpe by Colsterworth
  - Morton and Hanthorpe
- 4.6 These relationships were established in the Core Strategy due to the restrictive nature of the policy for those settlements below Local Service Centre level and the approach enabled development to occur in the “and” settlements.
- 4.7 In recognition of the fact that development in the smaller settlements will not be as severely restricted as it has been previously, each settlement has been assessed on its own merits in the Settlement Hierarchy.
- 4.8 The results of this show that Syston, Horbling, Woolsthorpe by Colsterworth and Hanthorpe do not have enough facilities to be considered Larger Villages in their own right. They will, therefore, be Smaller Villages.
- 4.9 Currently, ‘Caythorpe and Frieston’ and ‘Thurlby and Northorpe’ are joint LSCs and these will retain their joint status as Larger Villages in the new Local Plan because there is a clear join of these settlements (i.e. there is no physical gap between them).
- 4.10 Table 3 below sets out the list of Larger Villages. Appendix 2 sets out the survey results and Appendix 3 sets out the Scoring Matrix.

**Table 3: Larger Villages**

Ancaster	Barkston
Barrowby	Baston
Billingborough	Caythorpe
Colsterworth	Corby Glen
Great Gonerby	Harlaxton
Langtoft	Long Bennington
Morton	South Witham
Thurlby	

## **5. POLICY CHANGES**

- 5.1 The new Local Plan will enable small-scale, sensitive development in all smaller settlements whereas before this was restricted to only a few exceptional instance. This revised approach also ensures that the new policy for Larger Villages is not misinterpreted and applied to the “and” settlements where development on a medium to large scale would not be immediately appropriate.
- 5.2 For the smaller settlements, a policy change is proposed that will enable small sensitive infill and brownfield development, which is not permitted under current planning policy.
- 5.3 The Settlement Hierarchy will also be used to determine which settlements will have site allocations. However, it is not the only factor that has been used for determining which Larger Villages should have a site allocated for development. The sustainability appraisal (SA), the availability of suitable, developable land and infrastructure capacity have also been considerations. This could mean that for some Larger Villages an allocation is not recommended because either suitable, available land has not been identified or the existing infrastructure requires investment or upgrading before development should take place.

## **6. FUTURE REVIEW**

- 6.1 The review of the LSCs has been based upon surveys that were correct as of November 2016. It is recognised that information on services and facilities may have changed since the surveys were undertaken and that the information will only be correct at a particular point in time. The data will be checked before the Regulation 19 Publication of the Local Plan.



Appendix 1: Village Services Survey 2015

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
Allington	Y	Y	Y	mobile PO at village hall [3d]; general store	N	milk round; DRs Tues by app from Long Bennington	Y [P]	Y	Y [p t- not in village]	N	Y	N	Y (does food)	Y	Y	mobile library	various [see tab]	x	x		Y	Centrebuses	AC Williams	The village has links with Sedgebrook due to its closeness, and the school is linked with Sedgebrook which doesn't have shop or other facilities that Allington has. Villages also travel to Bottesford, Long Bennington or Gonerby for extra provisions.	Road maintenance is always an issue and reports are frequently sent to LCC. Villagers are delighted that the village now has Superfast Broadband since the summer 2015.	Speeding traffic and the fact that the village is used as a short cut from A1 to A52.	A Village Plan was completed in 2014.	Only as part of the Village Plan.	No	Considerable development has taken place in recent years already.
Ancaster	Y	Y	Y	butcher; general store opening Jan 2016	Y	Chinese takeaway in PH; ATM in garage & at Co-op; petrol	Y [P]	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	mobile library	none stated					train		no comment made	no comment made	no comment made	no comment made	no comment made	no comment made	no comment made

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]		
						filling station; motor repairs																									
<b>Aslack by</b>	N	N	N		N		N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N		SL Engineering			x	Y		Delaine & Kimes	daily to Bourne	None	All and no they are not being addressed	Lack of all facilities	Yes but nothing done as yet	Not applicable at present	No	Small developments possible
<b>Barkston [+ Syston]</b>	Y	Y	Y	PO 9-3 communal room: Londis minimart in petrol filling station	Y	farm shop/cafe at Syston [T-Sun]: petrol filling station, motor repairs at Barkston, ATM at garage	Y [P]	N	N	N	Y [B]	N	Y	Y	Y		PH, garage, farms, farm shop				Y	Stage coach [1]: Centrabus [27]	n/k	DR surgery at Ancaster, otherwise Grantham	None	Parking - Church St [B] being addressed with [possibly] double yellow lines	Yes - no decision likely earlier than March 2016	Yes	No	a) only [no sites identified in Local Plan or update]	
<b>Barrow by</b>	Y	Y	Y	PO & general store; butchers; deli in cafe	Y	cafe, restaurant [same premises]	Y [P]	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		none				Y	Centrabus	Centrabus	The linkage is primarily with Grantham due to proximity, bus service and shop availability	Car parking [long term issue but getting worse]; General store [closed with increased dependency on Grantham	Car parking for school [long term issue but getting worse]; Bus service [reduced flexibility on service times]; Lack of maintenance to roads.	A village survey has been completed – a neighbourhood plan has been discussed but not implemented	Yes – as part of the SKDC long term plan work	Not a growth village with 600 houses being built on the edge of the village but prepared to accept development	Could accommodate b and c.	

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/r relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastruct ure deficienci es in village & how being addressed ]	supp 3 [areas/issue s of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighb ourhoo d Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept develo pment: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
																							for food supplies]; No ATM [unlikely to be addressed ]; Easy access to medical facilities [have to go to Grantham ]; Bus service [reduced flexibility on service times].		ented.		ment in the village in designat ed areas.		
<b>Baston</b>	Y	Y	Y	PO etc in village shop	Y motor repairs, car showro om, mobile fish & chips, hairdre ssing	Y [ P ]	Y	N	N	Y	?	Y [2]	Y	Y	priva te scho ol 6- 14	?				Y	Delai ne	No	No recognised ties with other local villages	No specific known deficienci es	Excessive HGVs accessing Fen Roads to Spalding from A15, and to various commercial and industrial operations east of village. HGV traffic affects the Main Street residential area, along which are 2	Yes. Baston applied for such a Neighb ourhoo d Plan inclusio n with SKDC. See SKDC PLA998 June 19, 2013. Action has not been taken	Yes. The Parish Council informs villagers, organisations and communities on all matters concerning any proposed new developments in the village and invites public feedback at every monthly council meeting.	no commen t made	Small develo pments & infill housing only. Larger develo pments would stretch village resourc es to their limit and further exacerb ate

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
																							schools with 150+ and 170+ pupils and associated staff. Traffic also causes problems at uncontrolled Main St/A15 crossroads as the turning to/from Main Street and A15 is unsuitable for articulated vehicles. Same uncontrolled crossroads suffers from major congestion and lengthy delays at peak times during the day. Parental car parking close to CoE Primary School am and pm is ongoing	on the research and writing of this Approved Plan as yet. In principle it was suggested that 3 villages co-operated on a plan. Baston and Thurlby Parish Councils have applied and been accepted, Langtoft has not applied so far.				local traffic problems

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
Billingborough	Y	Y	Y	Cowleys, Dobsons, butchers, Co-op	Y	hair salon [2], takeaway [2], motor repairs /service	Y [P]	N	Y	Y [F/P]	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	care home	various [see tab]	x	x	x	N/K			problem for local residents. Policing could be improved.						

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/r relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastruct ure deficienci es in village & how being addressed ]	supp 3 [areas/issue s of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighb ourhoo d Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept develo pment: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
																						at sewage works which often smells badly in summer and needs further investigati on. Village suffers from very poor mobile phone signals.	might be on former Grimers site, but site beset by drain problems and 1870 station building should be preserved and locally listed; Strong feeling that affordable housing should be only for local people; Adequate parking for new housing [for more than 1 vehicle]; Parking congestion in High Street is an issue [but PC persuaded by LCC argument that it slows traffic	ourhoo d Plan would therefor e be too late.	land for community allotments and playing fields.	of the definitio n of which is currently opposed. We are happy to be a Local Service Centre but because of our recent committ ed growth do not want any further housing in the Plan period.	

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/r relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed ]	supp 3 [areas/issue s of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighb ourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept develo pment: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
<b>Bitchfield</b>	N	N	N	N	church used as commu nity centre	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	mainl y agricu ltural [see tab]	x				Kime s	Most people will go to Corby Glen or Grantham. [NB: primary school in Ingoldsby, secondary Corby Glen or Grantham, nursery at Ingoldsby,	We have two ancient and lovely churches (Bitchfield & Bassingth orpe) with their graveyard s. Otherwise no amenities at all.	Speeding traffic on the B1176 to Corby Glen from Boothby Pagnell. Particularly by motor bikes. We have at present a 40mph limit.	Owing to lack of enthusi asm, no - most people who move to these villages seem to like an extrem ely quiet	They are, broadly, against it - see previous question.	No	c) infill only. Inevita bly these will be more expensi ve houses as their occupa nts will need their own	

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
																						DRs Corby Glen]			life.			transport. Is this sensible?
<b>Boothby Pagnell</b>	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	none				Y	Centrebush & Mark Bland	Grantham only	Broadband! Pavement maintenance - highways visit postponed	Broadband!	No but seems a good idea	Only informally	No, not considered practical to be a Growth Village	No obvious opportunities in the village
<b>Braceborough</b>	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	care home	various [see tab]				N	Delaines	no comment made	no comment made	Speeding	The Parish Council prepared a Parish Plan in 2015	As part of Parish Plan; 88% of replies felt any new development should reflect the character of village by the use of materials that match those of existing properties. The majority were in favour of extensions, barn conversions and infills. The majority did not want back garden development or multiple dwelling	In the Parish Plan it was divided, just over half who responded felt that the Parish could not accommodate more housing and a further 28% were unsure. A third of people thought there	Infill only



settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
																										developments	was sufficient local affordable housing & a further third were unsure. Almost all respondents were happy with extensions to existing properties or conversion of existing buildings to homes but not flat type developments.		
Burton-le-Coggles	Y	N	Y	farm shop	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N		The Easton Estate		x			Centrebuses [4]		Corby Glen [Co-op]	No	No	No - This is a village belonging to the Easton Estate.	No	No	No

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/r relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastruct ure deficiency es in village & how being addressed ]	supp 3 [areas/issue s of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neigh bourhoo d Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept develo pment: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]		
																											Any plans to build anythin g is in the hands of Sir Fred Cholmel ey.			
Carlby	N	N	N	N	EFI ag motors /repair	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	tennis, bowl s	EFI & Bourn e Vehicl es	x			Y			no comment made	no comment made	no comment made	This was conside red as being very time consum ing and as we had already produce d and keep update d a Village Design Statem ent. The VDS has been adopte d by SKDC as supple	Yes both in the VDS over a considerable period of its development and just recently via a bespoke face to face open questions to residents backing onto the proposed EFI application for 10 dwellings. Plus random interviews of other village residents on the subject of this proposed development. Copy available.	No	a) the issue of a propos ed larger develo pment in the village is being pursue d separat ely, of which SKDC Plannin g Depart ment is aware.	

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
Castle Bytham	N	Y	N	PO van 3hrs daily M-F	Y	pharmacy at DRs; restaurants in PHs	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y [2]	Y	Y	both PHs with food	farms & PHs [see tab]	x			Y	Centr ebus	Centr ebus	South Witham [5miles]; Corby Glen [8miles]; Colsterworth [8miles]: no bus service to any of these	Uneven footpaths throughout the village; minimal street lighting; inadequate parking facilities particularly for social housing: none of the above being addressed	At least one more interactive speed sign required [PC cannot afford to buy another]; more off-road parking for social housing residents; keep bungalows for the local elderly instead of allowing young families with no transport or local connections	We have a Village Plan which is in process of being updated	Many times at open meetings whenever a controversial planning application is received: individual households are leafleted to advise of such meeting taking place.	With our lack of amenities and facilities it is not felt to be practical to become a growth village.	Infill developments of single properties. One small development of not more than 5 houses.

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
																								with village to occupy them.					
<b>Caythorpe &amp; Frioston</b>	Y	Y	Y	F/T PO & Spar	Y	Hair salon, PH does takeaway	Y [P]	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y [2]	Y	Y	motor repairs & servicing, care home	various [see tab]	x	x	x	Y	Stage coach & Call Connect	No	Lack of social housing	Parking on High Street blocking Bus route, need for parking resources off the High Street. Lack of social housing, possible loss of public house to residential.	Yes, but it was agreed to just stick with the Parish Plan that we have in place and are currently reviewing.	Yes public consultation within parish plan	The Parish Council is very happy with the designation of the village as a Local Service Centre and would wish for this to be continued with in the foreseeable future.	a) = Yes; b) = No; c) = Yes
<b>Claypole</b>	Y	N	Y	butchers; general store	Y	hairdressers	Y [P]	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	mobile library	various [see tab]	x	x		Y	Centrebush	Newark: all other services; Long Bennington: PO, Drs, coffee shop; Grantham: all services	Broadband provision; Bus services; Drains; Power supply; GP surgery	Speeding vehicles; parking; safe crossings [road]; sustainability of village hall	Yes	2008 was date of last survey	No. Fernwood has been identified as a growth point and this is near to Claypole	1985 - 250 houses in Claypole; 2015 - 580 houses in Claypole; half

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
																														the number of pubs and retail outlets despite the number of houses doubling since 1985.
<b>Colsterworth</b>	Y	Y	Y	newspapers in PO	Y	restaurant in PH, cafe & takeaway in garages on A1, filling stations [3], vehicle repairs [2]	Y [P]	Y	Y	Y [1D/W]	Y	Y	Y	Y [3]	N	social club	various inc Honey Pot Lane				Y	Centrebuses	AC Williams	Main link town in Grantham	Congested High Street	Speeding traffic; number of HGVs using B676; A1 traffic noise	In progress nearing completion	Yes	Is designated a sustainable settlement/service centre	No larger than 5-20 dwellings
<b>Corby Glen</b>	Y	Y	2 etc	delicatessen, wines etc	Y	cafe, mobile f&c, chemist, motor repairs, wet fish, chiropr	Y [P & S]	Y	Y [2]	Y	Y [2]	N	Y [2]	Y	Y	3G sports pitch, art gallery	various [see tab]	x	x		Y	Centrebuses [4] & Delaine [303]	Y	n/a	Public transport – the bus route in and out of the village does not service large areas. The	Speed of through traffic on A151 is concern. This impacts on: schoolchildren crossing to reach the	not recently	Yes – the Parish Council provides input to SKDC on potential housing sites	The Parish Council remains committed to Corby Glen being a Local	a) and c) would be preferred. The 2015 SHLAA identifies site

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
				dy, plumber, electrician etc																		route from Grantham to Stamford could easily include Tanners Lane, High Street, Market Place, Church Street, St Johns Drive, Bourne Road and Swinstead road. This route would give service to the doctors surgeries.	schools; patients [esp elderly] of two surgeries; parents & young children attending playgroup; residents visiting shops & services. Also a lack of parking spaces in the village.			Service Centre, with consequent growth in accordance with the Local Plan. As a result of two large developments in the village (St John's and Pridmore Road), further large scale development is not being sought.	COR14-109 as suitable for c30 properties.		
<b>Denton</b>	N	N	N	N		Y [P]	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	none				Y	Centr ebus [9]: Pulfr eys [605]	n/k	Harlaxton	No	Speeding traffic	No	No	No	No
<b>Dry Doddington</b>	N	N	N	N		N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N		various [see tab]	x	x		Y	Centr ebus		Claypole & Long Bennington	Aging population with no regular	Lack of infill housing for local need	YES and deemed not appropri	on-going dialogue	No	small

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
																							public transport to and from local Doctor's surgery or chemists		iate at this time				
<b>Edenh am</b>	N	N	N		N	coal merchant	Y [P]	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y [2]	Y	Y	adult social care [soon]	various [see tab]	x	x	x	none	Delaine	No	Decent levels of broadband service - Ultra fast Broadband is supposedly being introduced - however being a very rural community some of the residents/businesses are remotely located hence are unlikely to gain a suitably fast internet service.	Lack of public transport: Ongoing issues of excess speed of traffic throughout parish, especially on the A151 and the high use of the road by motorcyclists as a recreational resource; Continued issue of dangerous/inconsiderate parking by parents in the vicinity of the school.	NO	No	No	Larger developments [eg 5-20 houses]
<b>Folking ham</b>	Y	Y	Y	PO[3d pw] + Gener	Y	2 x tea rooms [1=hot	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Residential Care	various [see tab]	x	x		Y	Centr ebus	Delaine	no comment made	no comment made	speeding	no comment made	no comment made	no comment made	no comment

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
				al Store + Hanso n's Choco late Shop [Sat]		lunches Wed-Sun], mobile takeout 1 evening										Home + mobile library														made
<b>Foston</b>	Y	N	N	general store at garage	Y	ATM at garage/filling station	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	MUG A	petrol station & farm	x				none								Small developments as per neighbourhood plan
<b>Fulbeck</b>	N	N	N	Craft centre : saddler, gifts, picture framing, dress	N	PH serves food	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y		various [see tab]	x				Stage coach [1]	AC Williams	Caythorpe and Leadenham both have shops and a PO. Caythorpe has a surgery. Leadenham has a	No mains gas. Street sweeping and path clearing is inadequate and sporadic. Street lighting is	Being in a farming community agricultural vehicles travel through the village lanes. Parking is inadequate	We are considering a Parish Plan - applied for grants - failed to get them 2	as the village is categorised as 'less sustainable' the possibility of new housing is non-existent. However, residents of one social	No.	a) Yes; b) No; c) Yes.



settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/r relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed ]	supp 3 [areas/issue s of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
				makin g, plants																	garage with fuel and garage shop. Leisure facilities at Leadenham. Residents will use services/facilities in nearby villages and some may have developed relationships in these villages.	deficient in certain areas, especially those streets without public footways. Broadband speed is much better but still slow in busy periods. Unsuitable for HGV category not assigned to Northend Lane. The steep hills in the village need gritting in winter.	in all areas resulting in parking on and obstructing public footways. Parking is an issue in Lime Tree Close and Washdyke Lane and the High Street, especially at weekends and nights. A section of Bulby Lane is unrestricted and should be 30mph. Currently designated less sustainable and a 'no build village' until 2026 - preventing infill building permission.	years ago - subsequently advised by consultant from LALC that in view of being a 'no building' village and a conservation village - neighbourhood plan would have no weight.	housing area have expressed their desire for additional parking areas.		

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
<b>Great Gonerby</b>	Y	Y	Y	PO, newsagent, Costcutters + Down town	Y	services at Gonerby Moor, restaurant by appointment, motor repairs	Y [P]	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Social club, multi sports field, community centre	various [see tab]	x	x	x	Y	Centr ebus	No ties with other villages, too close to Grantham.	The parish council does have some concerns about the ability and safety of the Belton Lane/B1174 junction once the Popular Farm road is completed joining Gonerby Hill Foot to Barrowby Gate.	The parish council does have some concerns about the ability and safety of the Belton Lane/B1174 junction once the Popular Farm road is completed joining Gonerby Hill Foot to Barrowby Gate.	No	Only via the existing planning processes.	Yes, we are not opposed to sensible development.	There is a potential for a very large development of potentially 500 properties but only if a new access road/junction was built. The current infrastructure cannot support any larger developments.
<b>Great Ponton</b>	N	N	N	newspapers from garage on A1	Y	motor repairs & garage	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	community centre	A1 Service Station	x			N	N/K	Our residents have to rely on taxis or the Connect Bus	no comment made	We have problems with parking especially around the SKDC housing, as many	No	No	There does not seem to be the necessary space in the village	Maybe infill developments

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]		
																							households now have 2 vehicles. We have asked that a green area be converted into parking, but this was turned down. Other parts of the village also have parking problems. The traffic is a problem when parents are dropping off and picking up from school.				for growth.			
<b>Greatford</b>	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	none					none	LCC	no comment made	Poor broadband speed: not being addressed	Through traffic speeding @ 30mph-50mph	No	No	No	a) possibly	
<b>Haconby &amp; Stainfield</b>	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	PH Haconby	farm/livery yard	x			Y		Delaine [Thurs only]	Y	Morton	no comment made	no comment made	Yes we are in the process of drawing one up	They will be, via the neighbourhood plan	No	Haconby a), Stainfield none

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
Harlaxton	Y	Y	Y	PO in general store	Y	PH serves food, ATM in general store	Y [P]	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	mobile library, Bowls club	various [see tab]	x	x		Y	Centrebuses	Y	As Grantham is almost as near as the nearest village residents are more likely to travel there. Denton and Harlaxton are linked through the Church and social activities, and also the Surgery	Broadband only recently improved. Mobile signal extremely weak (or non-existent in some parts of village) which causes significant problems for businesses in particular.	Proposed Quarry application – to be set up within 2 miles of village. Results would be a vast increase in HGV traffic on A607 (which provides access to the village) and risk of village being used as short cut plus associated noise and air pollution. Planning is being strongly opposed by Harlaxton Parish Council. State of road surface. Very patchy and increasing	Yes - ongoing.	Yes and the community is generally opposed to large scale development in what is principally a conservation area which has limited space to expand without reducing farmland.	No. It is in a rural green belt and a conservation area. Current utilities and drainage only just support present housing size. Any increase would require a huge investment in infrastructure.	There may be small individual pockets of land, which could take small developments but only for 1 or 2 houses. Anything larger would adversely affect the balance of the village.

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
<b>Honington</b>	N	N	N	N		N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	various [see tab]	x	x	x	Y		Ancaster for Drs & PO; Barkston for garage, Londis & PO	no	no	no	Yes. It was felt that there was a case for small scale development	No but we have no objection to small scale development	small development	
<b>Horbli ng</b>	N	N	N	N		Y [P]	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			none				Y	Centreb us & Sleaf ordia n	Sleaf ordia n	Billingsboro ugh – doctors, post office, and local shops	no comment made	Speeding traffic; Affordable housing to buy or rent; Flooding concerns following the	No	No	No	a) and c)

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
																							consultation by the EA re the switching off of the Horbling pumping station and only two pumps working at the Black Sluice station.					
<b>Hough on the Hill</b>	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	none				none	n/k	None - Caythorpe is the nearest	No	Speeding traffic along the C001 [A17 to A1] and HGVs from Marston A1 through Gelston & Hough to Caythorpe	Done and adopted	Yes as part of Neighbourhood Plan	No	Possibly but Neighbourhood Plan refers
<b>Humby [pt of Ropsley Parish]</b>	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				Y	Centrebuses	AC Williams	Great and Little Humby is closely tied to Ropsley albeit Ropsleys' facilities are limited. Our nearest shops are in Grantham,	Little Humby is currently [Oct 2015-May 2016] having a first time sewerage scheme introduced. Great Humby doesn't	Public Bus Service: The number of services to Little Humby (currently provided by Centrebus) has been cut in recent years. There is concern that this	Yes – Ropsley & District Parish Council are at the formative stages of preparing a	Not as yet but this will be done as part of the Neighbourhood Plan process.	This is to be agreed as part of the Neighbourhood Plan consultation process.	This will be determined from proper consultation of the community on the concept and

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/r relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed ]	supp 3 [areas/issue s of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
																					Corby Glen and Folkingham, all about 7/8 miles away.	have mains sewerage system but it is probably too small. Roads: Water Lane in Little Humby is used by 8 residents. From our investigations it is owned by Crown/Common Land. It is used by 7 residents. It is un- metalled and heavily rutted. It is about 100m long and the residents would prefer it to be adopted by LCC. All public highways	doesn't get cut further.	Neighbourhood Plan which will include Great & Little Humby.				potential sites as part of the Neighbourhood Plan.

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/r relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastruct ure deficienci es in village & how being addressed ]	supp 3 [areas/issue s of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighb ourhoo d Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept develo pment: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
																							through the village are in need of resurfacin g. LCC Highways are aware of this and we hope this will be done following completi on of the sewerage.						
<b>Ingoldsby</b>	N	N	N	N		Y[P]	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Y		Social club	farms +Jasik nski hau la ge em plo y ing few er than 5 each	x			Y	Centr ebus	N/K	None	State and narrowne ss of roads; speeding; occasional flooding in east end of village [south side]; problems acknow ledged	Traffic speeds and lack of facilities	Not to my know l dge	Very little response officially. Unofficially not a lot of enthusiasm.	We are a small village of approx 150 houses and the sewerag e is at its limit. The council, by majority vote would accept a few more houses	Infill only – not more than 5 as a maxim um
<b>Londonthorpe</b>	N	N	N	newsa gent, grocer	N	hairdre ssers, tv	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N		variou s [see tab]				non e	no	Grantham	Flooding on Coxmoor	Lack of affordable housing,	Was conside red but	Yes often, especially the Southern	We are already a growth	London thorpe village	



settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
				ies on Alma Park Road & Sunningdale estate		repairs, takeaway, motor repairs [Alma Park Road]																	Close/Cavendish Drive	lack of parking with new builds	was not thought to have any benefits to village	Quadrant and the planned application for Somerby Hill	parish, with over 5000 houses expected to the south of our parish	no!! Other areas as above		
Long Bennington	Y	Y	Y	most food items, Co-op	Y	hairdresser, fish & chips, cafe, ATM, motor repairs, PHs serve food	Y [P]	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y [3]	Y	Y	care home and wardened housing, tennis courts & bowls club	large number [see additional sheet which has details of 29 separate businesses, including shops & PHs, covering a wide range of jobs with job numbers]	x	x	x	Y	Centrebuses [24]	Y	may be further comment to come	Drainage/sewers need strategic works to prevent future flooding	HGV traffic to/from Roseland Business Park continues to be issue for many and one which PC wishes to be addressed. Further expansion of Roseland will worsen effects on village. Also ongoing problem with lorry parking on side of road adjacent to sports field and pavilion: causing safety issues for sports	Yes - in hand	Yes – via questionnaire/consultations in connection with the Neighbourhood Plan	Long Bennington is a LSC	may be further comment to come

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
																	ers in all three bands ]							facilities users because of lack of parking; and health/environmental issue as waste [including human] being left in and around hedgerow. Traffic generally is issue and concerns are being raised in connection with lack of formal school crossing. Primary school is on busy, wide main road with no assistance for young children to cross safely. Residents would like this addressed.					

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
<b>Marston</b>	N	N	N		N	Restaurant at Ramada Resort; Toll Bar Services has small general store	Y [P]	Y	P/T [1d/w]	N	Y [shared]	N	N	Y	Y	PH + shop recent closure	various [see tab]	x	x	x		taxis	Village Hall shared with Hougham, also various societies/clubs including the Women's Institute	No gas supply: [high speed broadband arrived recently]	Increasing traffic through village, including HGVs; Traffic speeding through village; Lack of housing for young people; Recent closure of pub [Thorold Arms] including the integral village store	Yes, but not yet prepared.	Yes. An SKDC survey a few years ago.	Not discussed as have been told we are a 'Non-Sustainable Village'.	a) and c)	
<b>Morton</b>	Y	Y	Y	PO sells some grocery items	Y	hairdressers, restaurant, chip shop, motor repairs	Y [P]	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y			various [see tab]	x	x			Delaine	Delaine	Bourne	Pedestrian crossing on A15 [crossing needed]	No	Yes	No	c) infill only. The parish has already seen considerable expansion over several years - from 1990s.	
<b>Normanton</b>	N	N	N		N		N	N	N	N	n	N	N	N	N		Rainthorpe Trans			x	Y	Stagecoach	Y	Caythorpe	Lack of mains sewerage	traffic - excessive amount of	yes - via Parish Council	Yes - via original village plan	Yes	c)

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
Cliffe																	port							- not being addressed	waste recycling vehicles					
North Witham	N	N	N		N		N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	N		none				Y	Centrebuses	no comment	Main link is Colsterworth with main town being Grantham	no comment made	no comment made	In progress nearing completion [including in Colsterworth NP]	Yes	Limited infill	Limited infill
Old Somerby	N	N	N		N	restaurant part of local pub	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N		small S/E businesses and on farm emp 5-20		x		Y	no info	Y	We have no linkages to other villages. Nearest shops are Grantham	We have no community hall or public meeting place	Parking on School Lane.	the Parish Council is considering a plan.	Not as yet - limited space to build.	Old Somerby would not wish to be a growth village.	Unsure.
Pickworth	N	N	N		N	mobile fish & chips weekly	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	small library in VH [built 2008]		none						daily to Sleaford	Folkingham Stores used daily for newspapers, top-up shopping. Billingborough: shops & doctor	Several roads in very poor state: repeated promises from LCC, who acknowledge roads need full resurfacing, but little done in past 5 years.	Several roads in very poor state: repeated promises from LCC, who acknowledge roads need full resurfacing, but little done in past 5 years. Flooding	No	Not in general. Most residents would be opposed to large scale developments	No	There are probably opportunities for limited "in-fill" developments of single dwellings or pairs of

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
																							Flooding problem during periods of heavy rain: affects main road and is due to inadequate drainage under Village Street & inattention by local farmers to adjacent ditches.	problem during periods of heavy rain: affects main road and is due to inadequate drainage under Village Street & inattention by local farmers to adjacent ditches.					small homes. Each case would need to be considered as a specific situation, based on its merits and specific impacts on adjacent properties.	
<b>Ropsley</b>	N	Y	N	PO Wed pm only	Y	PH serves food, motor repairs	Y [ P ]	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	none				Y	Centr ebus	Ancaster, Folkingham	no comment made	Parking outside of the garage limits view to the junction	Yes, in progress of review	No	no comment made	no comment made	
<b>Sedgebrook</b>	N	N	N		N	carwash on A52	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	social club [2 evenings + Sunday lunch]	none				Y	Centr ebus	Centr ebus	Allington	Still bad internet connection	Yes because of the safety issues of accesses to and from the village on to the A52	No	No	No	No because of the infrastructure of services

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
Skillington	N	N	N		N		N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y [2]	N	Y		farms [2], PH [2], mobile hairdressing	x			Y	Centr ebus [1-3 hourly]	n/k	No. The nearest villages are 2-3 miles away and bus service is inadequate for this to work.	Please see our letter dated 6/10/15: sewage system at capacity, inadequate surface water drainage, frequent blocked sewers, road maintenance, no gas, poor broadband, poor bus service	Please see our letter dated 6/10/15: high levels agric traffic; parking is problem; speeding is growing problem but request for 30mph limit refused by LCC; access road narrow and state of them seems not to be priority for LCC maintenance	Yes, in preparation. Area designation approved by SKDC.	Yes. Please see our letter dated 6/10/15: residents value tranquillity & rural nature of village, want only small development [inc infill], renewable energy preference is solar	No. Infrastructure constraints and local opinion suggest that any development in Skillington should be limited to infill, conversion, or small developments.	possibly a) and c), subject to review of sewerage capacity with AW. Certainly not b).
South Witham	Y	Y	G	Mobile PO [14.5 hpw]: 2 shops open 7days [fruit, veg, groceries, papers, hardware,	Y	hairdresser [private house]: mobile fish & chips [weekly]: restaurants in PHs	Y [P]	Y	Y [M & Th]	N	Y	Y [2 5]	2	2	Y	Skate Park & Basketball unit [on recreation ground]	various [see tab]	x	x	x	Y	Centr ebus [608]	n/k	Loss of vicar means village has ties with churches in the Bytham Group. Limited availability of doctor's surgery means those in Colsterwor	The Doctor's surgery is only open 4 hours a week, so there are the problems of travelling to other villages for this service. This is a	Parking always issue in small villages, mainly inconsiderate parkers which PC is trying to address: mainly on large estate on north of village where bus has	We did consider preparing a Neighbourhood Plan several years ago but decided against it.	No we have not done so.	The Parish Council supports managed development of the right mix.	a) small developments [eg 1 or 2 houses but not more than 5],

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
			house hold]: mobile fresh fish																			th, Castle Bytham, Market Overton and Corby Glen are used.	issue for non drivers who are reliant on the help of friends / family or public transport available.	difficulty in getting around. Also an issue outside school at dropping off and picking up times. Village often used as shortcut when A1 closed or congested. BT state that internet facilities becoming stretched to limit. Public transport concerns: PC aware of importance of existing services to villagers, many of whom cannot drive and are reliant on buses to Grantham & Stamford.					

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
<b>Stubton</b>	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	social club in Village Hall [2x/w]; Stubton Hall	Stubton Hall [conferences & weddings]			x	none	N/K	Links with Dry Doddington, Westborough, Claypole through Parish Magazine & a Good Neighbours scheme [running 10+years]; Link with Brandon established through committee opposing RWE wind farm at Temple Hill; Link with Fenton through committee opposing wind farm on Fulbeck Airfield	Roads are in very poor condition; No bus service; No gas in village; Limited link to main sewerage	Speeding through the village is always an issue; Housing - some infill plots are available and the ability to build would improve the long-term viability of the village. However under SKDC policy, Stubton is classed as unsustainable.	Stubton's Neighbourhood Plan was approved in July 2015 and became SKDC planning policy	Yes	As mentioned in Q3 – yes but only to a limited extent – this view is that of the Parish Council and the community following the questionnaires relating to the Neighbourhood Plan	a).	
<b>Swinstead</b>	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N		several small farms each employing	X			none	Kimes	Shaws	This is an "isolated" village with no specific linkages. Corby Glen	Unable to provide local support for people with	Village hall is used, otherwise no concerns.	Yes this was considered but, as most properties	No planning permissions rec'd by the Parish Council this year but one may be	No - also see question 4.	At Machams Close there is very



settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/r elationship s with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastruct ure deficienci es in village & how being addressed ]	supp 3 [areas/issue s of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighb ourhoo d Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept develo pment: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
															fewer than 5						is nearest for convenienc e shopping.	mental health and drug addiction problems who have been foisted onto our village in the past by SKDC. This causes many problems in as much as people offered housing here in Swinstead very often turn it down as they know of its reputatio n and do not wish to come to the sheltered housing area.		es are owned by the Grimsth orpe Trust, there seemed little point in going forward with one.	imminent at Machams Close where local views were sought in the past and the proposal was deemed to be controversial.		little infill as plots are mainly landloc ked.

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]
<b>Tallington</b>	Y	Y	Y	mobile PO [Tues & Thurs PM] papers & mini-supermarket at garage	Y	cafe [Tallington Farm Shop], restaurant [Tallington Lakes & Whistle stop PH	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N		various [see tab]	x	x	x	Y		Uffington, as the church is part of our village group and Tallington children have a primary school there.	Broadband: Gigaclear & BT trying to find way over railways to bring Broadband to village; No school: required if many new houses; No buses at weekends to allow access out of village for teenagers etc.	To help fund the new road link from a rail bridge a number of houses could be built. This has been shelved due to Network Rail rescinding on funding for the bridge.	In consideration	Yes via questionnaires and meetings in alliance with the railbridge effort.	no comment made	no comment made
<b>Thurlby</b>	Y	Y	Y	PO, newsagent, general store combined. Mini supermarket in garage on	Y	services at A15 roundabout	Y	[P]	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y		various [see tab] [at A15 roundabout]		x		Y		The nearest shopping centre is Bourne and it has an out of town shopping complex but this does not help to create a	Yes the drainage system is very old and has not been updated although there has been more development. This creates	High Street in Thurlby is used as a rat run to get to Witham /Stamford. When there is an accident on the A15 and this is closed all traffic is diverted through	We are in the process of preparing one	Not as yet although the Local Plan is being amended by the District Council	It will accept extra housing if it is not too much and is within the present shape of the village	b)

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought eg on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
				A115 round about																			link with Bourne as it is very impersonal . The alternative is to go either to Deeping or Peterborough or even Stamford but that has poor bus services to Stamford	problems with sewerage coming up through drains.	Thurlby which is a very big problem when that occurs					
<b>Uffington</b>	N	N	N		Y	PH serves food	Y [ P ]	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	PH with food	various [see tab]	x	x		Y	Delai ne [3]	Delai ne	no comment made	Pot Holes	Traffic using Uffington as a rat run because of delays at Tallington Crossing	No	no comment made	No	Possibl y a) and c). Certainl y <u>not</u> b).
<b>Welby</b>	N	N	N		N	PH serves food	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	none				non e	Y	no comment made	no comment made	speeding	no comment made	no comment made	no comment made	NO	
<b>West Deeping</b>	N	N	N		N		N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y				none			Y	Delai ne	Delai ne	None	no comment made	Speed and volume of traffic (particularly morning and evening for volume)	Conside red but not progres sed at this stage	Affordable housing public meeting after corresponden ce from SKDC representative about 2 years ago. Not progressed as sewerage and water problems at	Not without a lot of new facilities	c)	

settlement	shops	PO	food etc	notes/comments	services	notes/comments	school [P/S]	nursery	Drs	Police / Fire	Village Hall	Allotments	PH	Equip play space	play field	other	employment	<5	5-20	>20	buses	school bus	supp 1 [linkages/relationships with other villages]	supp 2 [known infrastructure deficiencies in village & how being addressed]	supp 3 [areas/issues of concern to village eg traffic, parking, lack facilities, housing etc]	supp 4 [Neighbourhood Plan?]	supp 5 [views of community been sought on housing?]	supp 6 [does PC feel village would wish to be a Growth Village?]	supp 7 [would village accept development: a) small <5; b) 5-20; c) infill only]	
<b>Westborough</b>	N	N	N		N		N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	B & B	farms	x			Y	Centrebuses	Long Bennington	Aging population with no regular public transport to and from local Doctor's surgery or chemists	no comment made	YES – considered not appropriate at this time	on-going dialogue	No	small to fit in with conservation village status	

Appendix 2: Settlement Hierarchy Assessment for new Local Plan

Parish Council/ villages	Change in population	Total Pop (2011 Parish)	Total Pop 2001 (Parish)	Dwelling No 2011	Primary school	Food shop / local shop	Bus service to nearest urban area (Hourly or more often)	Bus service (1-3 hours/ 4-6 per day)	Train Station	Village Hall / Meeting Hall / Memorial Hall	Post Office	Public House	Recreational / open space (all ages)	Equip play space	Bus service (3 hours or less frequent)	School Bus	Doctors	Police / Fire	Secondary School	Other Services (from Business Rates)	Local Business pre-school, playgroup, crèche, childminder	Mobile library	total	
Corby Glen	29 8	101 7	719	440	6	9	0	3	0	4	3	4	2	2	2	2	4	2	3	2	2	2	1	53
Long Bennington	17 5	201 8	184 3	903	6	6	0	3	0	3	3	5	2	2	0	2	3	2	0	3	6	2	1	49
Billingborough	30 3	140 1	109 8	612	6	6	0	3	0	3	3	3	2	2	0	5	3	1	0	1	4	0	1	43
Harlaxton	77	782	705	370	6	6	5	0	0	3	1	3	2	2	0	2	3	0	0	5	1	2	1	42
Colsterworth	20 5	171 3	150 8	768	6	6	0	3	0	3	3	3	2	3	0	2	3	1	0	1	2	2	1	41
Caythorpe & Frieston	-98	137 4	147 2	574	6	6	4	0	0	3	3	4	3	2	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	2	1	40
Langtoft	12 1	204 5	192 4	828	6	6	4	0	0	3	1	0	4	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	5	2	1	38
South Witham	94	153 3	143 9	716	6	6	0	3	0	3	1	4	3	2	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	2	1	38
Ancaster	33 0	164 7	131 7	718	6	6	0	0	3	3	3	3	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	1	2	2	1	37
Morton	54	240 6	235 2	102 2	6	6	4	0	0	3	3	3	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	37
Barrowby	-44	195 2	199 6	854	6	6	4	0	0	3	3	3	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	36
Thurlby & Northorpe	17	215 3	213 6	913	6	6	4	0	0	3	1	3	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	35
Great Gonerby	15 0	220 0	205 0	988	6	6	4	0	0	3	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	34
Allington	16 9	897	728	432	6	6	0	0	0	3	1	3	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	32
Barkston	-4	493	497	236	6	6	4	3	0	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	32
Baston	-25	144 4	146 9	589	6	6	4	0	0	3	1	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	32
Claypole	25 0	138 2	113 2	561	6	6	0	0	0	3	0	3	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	29
Castle Bytham	24	768	744	342																				0

Folkingham	67	796	729	371																		0
Hanthorpe		*		*																		0
Horbling	39	436	397	194																		0
Ropsley	8	816	808	367																		0
System	-3	162	165	68																		0
Woolsthorpe by Colsterworth		*		*																		0

\* In same parish as larger settlement

**Appendix 3: Scoring Matrix (Revised following Growth PDG recommendations)**

Score	First score	Additional	part time	Essential?
Primary school	6	3	3	Yes – not LV if not present
Food shop / local shop	6	3	3	Yes – not LV if not present
Village Hall / Meeting Hall / Memorial Hall	3	1	1	Yes – but can be LV if not present, as long as several other essential services available (3 out of 4)
Bus service to nearest urban area (Hourly or more often)	4	2	2	Good Transport connection is essential
Bus service (1-3 hours/ 4-6 per day)	3	1	1	Good Transport connection is essential
Bus service (3 hours or less frequent)	2	1	1	Desirable
School bus	2	1	1	Desirable
Post Office	3	1	1	Yes – but can be LV if not present, as long as several other essential services available (3 out of 4)
Public House	3	1	1	Yes – but can be LV if not present, as long as several other essential services available (3 out of 4)
Doctors	3	1	1	Desirable
Police / Fire	2	1	1	Desirable
Recreational / open space - all	2	1	1	Yes – but can be LV if not present, as long as several other essential services available (3 out of 4)
Children’s play area (equipped)	2	1	1	Yes – but can be LV if not present, as long as several other essential services available (3 out of 4)
Secondary School	3	1	1	Desirable
Train Station	3	1	1	Good Transport connection is essential
Local Business >10	1			Business Rates
Local Business 11-20	2			Business Rates
Local Business 21-30	3			Business Rates
Local Business 31-40	4			Business Rates

Score	First score	Additional	part time	Essential?
Local Business 41-50	5			Business Rates
Local Business 51-60	6			Business Rates
Day nursery, pre-school playgroup, crèche, childminder.	2	1	1	Desirable
Other Services (1 point for each)	1	1	1	
Mobile library	1			1 score per LV (even if joint with settlement)
Proximity to Main Urban Area	Within 2km of centre – 8			High score due to the range of facilities being close would bring

\*LV = Larger Village (formally termed Local Service Centre)